



AF
ISW

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: October 26, 2006

Signature: Richard H. Anderson

(Richard H. Anderson)

Docket No.: 19036/40136
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Kazuo Tsutsumi et al.

Application No.: 10/510,416

Confirmation No.: 8520

Filed: October 6, 2004

Art Unit: 1745

For: BATTERY

Examiner: C. K. Lee

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL REJECTION

MS AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

The Final Rejection dated August 3, 2006, requires a combination of four references (claims 1, 4-12, and 15) or five references (claims 2, 13, 16-19) and the long list of references, string together via hindsight, still do not meet all of applicants' claimed features.

The newest prior art reference, Sato (US 2002/0069514) is very different from applicants' claimed invention, as described below:

(1) Binder

In Fig. 1(A) and Fig. 1(B) of Sato, powdered electrode active material 11 is coated with an ion-conducting polymer 12. The ion-conducting polymer 12 acts as a binder. Generally, powdered electrode active material has a low electron-conductivity. Therefore, when the ion-conducting polymer is attached to the powdered electrode active material, the electron conductivity of the powdered electrode active material is not improved and a high output of electric discharge cannot be attained.

OK
to
enter
1/4 NO 506